

Agricultural Experiment Station


College of Agricultural Sciences

Department of Soil and Crop Sciences

CSU Extension

APPLICATION OF ANAEROBICALLY DIGESTED BIOSOLIDS TO DRYLAND WINTER WHEAT 2012-2013 RESULTS

North Bennett harvest soil nitrate-N, 2012-2013.



NS = non significant.

K.A. Barbarick, T. Gourd,
and J.P. McDaniel
Professor¹, Extension Agent², and Research
Associate¹

¹Department of Soil and Crop Sciences

²Adams County Extension

APPLICATION OF ANAEROBICALLY DIGESTED
BIOSOLIDS TO DRYLAND
WINTER WHEAT
2012-2013 RESULTS

The Cities of Littleton and Englewood, Colorado
and the Colorado Agricultural Experiment
Station (project number
15-2924) funded this project.

Mention of a trademark or proprietary product does not constitute endorsement by the Colorado Agricultural Experiment Station.

Colorado State University is an equal opportunity/affirmative action institution and complies with all Federal and Colorado State laws, regulations, and executive orders regarding affirmative action requirements in all programs. The Office of Equal Opportunity is located in 101 Student Services. In order to assist Colorado State University in meeting its affirmative action responsibilities, ethnic minorities, women, and other protected class members are encouraged to apply and to so identify themselves.

INTRODUCTION

Approximately 41% of biosolids are land applied in the U.S. (Brobst, Robert. 2011. USEPA, Personal Communication). Land application can greatly benefit municipalities and farmers by recycling plant nutrients in an environmentally sound manner (Barbarick et al., 1992).

Our long-term biosolids project, now in its thirty second year, has provided valuable information on the effects of continuous biosolids applications to dryland winter wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Previous research has shown that Littleton/Englewood biosolids are an effective alternative to commercial N fertilizer with respect to grain production and nutrient content of winter wheat (Barbarick et al., 1992). As with other N fertilizers, however, application rates of biosolids exceeding the N needs of the crop result in an accumulation of soil nitrate-nitrogen. Excess soil nitrate-nitrogen may move below the root zone or off-site and contaminate groundwater or surface waters. The potential benefit of biosolids is that they contain organic N, which can act like a slow-release N source and provide a more constant supply of N during the critical grain-filling period versus commercial N fertilizer.

For the Littleton/Englewood biosolids, a 2 dry tons biosolids A⁻¹ application rate will supply approximately 32 lbs N A⁻¹ over the growing season (Barbarick and Ippolito, 2000; Barbarick and Ippolito, 2007), an amount within the typical application range for dryland winter wheat crops in our study area. Other biosolids sources may exhibit a different N fertilizer equivalency. Previous research has shown no detrimental grain trace-metal accumulation with this application rate (Barbarick et al., 1995). Therefore, we continue to recommend a 2 dry tons

biosolids A^{-1} rate as the most sustainable land-application rate for similar biosolids nutrient characteristics and crop yields.

The overall objective of our research is to compare the effects of Littleton/Englewood (L/E) biosolids and commercial N fertilizer rates on: a) dryland winter wheat grain production, b) estimated income, c) grain and straw total nutrient and trace-metal content, and (d) soil NO_3-N accumulation and movement.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The North Bennett experimental plots used in the 2012-2013 growing season were established in August 1993. The soil is classified as a Weld loam, Aridic Argiustoll. The land is managed with minimum-tillage practices. Precipitation amounts are shown in Table 1.

We applied N fertilizer (46-0-0; urea) at rates of 0, 20, 40, 60, 80, and 100 lbs $N A^{-1}$ and biosolids (93% solids, Table 2) at rates of 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 dry tons A^{-1} on 23 and 24 July 2012, respectively. The same plots received biosolids and N fertilizer, at the above rates, in July or August 1992, 1994, 1996, 1998, 2000, 2002, 2004, 2006, 2010, and 2012. We did not apply biosolids nor N fertilizer in 2007 since the farmer grew proso millet (*Panicum millaceum*, L.) to help control an infestation of jointed goat grass (*Aegilops cylindrica* Host). According to the 1996 Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment Biosolids Regulations, L/E biosolids are classified as Grade I and are suitable for application to agricultural and disturbed lands (Table 2). We uniformly applied both biosolids and N fertilizer, and incorporated with a rototiller to a depth of 4 to 6 inches. The North Bennett site was cropped with the winter wheat

cultivar 'TAM 107' during the 1993-4, 1995-6, and 1997-8 growing seasons, 'Prairie Red' during the 1999-2006 seasons, and 'Ripper' from 2007-12 .

At harvest (20 June 2012), we measured grain yield and protein content. We estimated net return to fertilizer application using \$7.56 per bushel for wheat (USDA-ERS, 2013b), subtracted the cost for either N fertilizer (\$.64 lb⁻¹ N; USDA-ERS, 2013a) or biosolids, and considered all other costs equal. The biosolids and its application are currently free. We collected three random 3-foot row samples from each plot on 8 July 2013 to determine biomass and grain yields. Plant P, Cu, Ni, and Zn concentrations were determined in nitric-acid digests (Huang and Schulte, 1985) using an inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrophotometer (ICP-AES; Soltanpour et al., 1996).

Two to three soil samples from 0 to 8 and 8 to 24 inches were taken from each plot and composited. We used ammonium bicarbonate diethylenetriaminepentaacetic acid (ABDTPA) to extract the soils and determine plant-available P, Cu, Ni, and Zn using the ICP-AES (Barbarick and Workman, 1987). We also collected soil samples from the 0-8, 8-24, 24-40, 40-60, and 60-80-inch depths in the control, 40 lbs N A⁻¹, and 2 and 5 dry tons biosolids A⁻¹ treatments and analyzed them for NO₃-N accumulation.

This report provides data for the 2012-2013 crop year only. The reader is reminded that the 2012-2013 North Bennett plots received biosolids at the same application rates in July or August 1992, 1994, 1996, 1998, 2000, 2002, 2004, 2006, 2010, and 2012. Considering these eight prior applications plus the most recent application, the recommended 2 dry tons A⁻¹

biosolids rate for the 2012-2013 growing season represents a cumulative addition of 18 dry tons A^{-1} biosolids for the life of the experiment or about 290 lbs. available N A^{-1} .

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Grain Yields, Protein and Grain Elemental Content, and Estimated Income

As shown in Table 3, neither L/E biosolids nor commercial N fertilizer rates impacted grain yields and protein, P, Cu, Ni, and Zn concentrations. Yields (average of about 10 bu A^{-1}) were below the Colorado 2013 average yield of 47 bushels A^{-1} (USDA NASS Colorado Field Office, 2014). The lack of precipitation in fall 2012 and early spring 2013 led to the below average yields (Table 1). Because it was supplied free of charge, the biosolids did provide higher income per acre than the N fertilizer.

Biosolids Application Recommendation

We compared yields from N and biosolids plots at North Bennett to determine the N equivalency of the biosolids. However, we did not find any significant N equivalency relationships for the biosolids or N-fertilizer treatments (Figure 1). During past growing seasons we have estimated that 1 dry ton of biosolids would supply the equivalent of 16 lbs of fertilizer N (Barbarick and Ippolito, 2000; Barbarick and Ippolito, 2007). This approximation is used in planning long-term biosolids applications.

Grain and Straw Nutrients and Trace Metals

The only significant effect on grain or straw nutrient and trace-metal concentrations were a biosolids-rate effect on straw Ni content (content increased as biosolids and N fertilizer rate increased; Table 5). Biosolids supply a significant source of Ni (Table 2). All grain and straw

metal contents were well below the levels considered harmful to livestock (National Research Council, 1980).

Nutrient Availability and Residual Soil NO₃-N

Biosolids or N fertilizer application did not affect AB-DTPA soil-extractable nutrient levels in the 0-8 or the 8-24 inch soil depths (Tables 6 and 7). Neither the recommended 2 dry tons biosolids A⁻¹ nor the 5 dry tons biosolids A⁻¹ application rate significantly affected NO₃-N throughout the profile as compared to either the control or the 40 lbs N A⁻¹ fertilizer application rate (Figure 2). Soil NO₃-N concentrations at all depths and for all treatments were less than 5 ppm.

SUMMARY

North Bennett grain yields were below the Colorado 2013 average yield of 47 bu A⁻¹ (USDA NASS Colorado Field Office, 2014). Wheat yields for this cropping year were far below average because of the limited rainfall. Thus we could not expect to measure treatment differences. On average, the estimated net return to biosolids was greater than the N fertilizer application primarily due to the cost-free aspect of biosolids application. This trend was similar to previous findings where biosolids usage provided a greater economic advantage.

Increasing biosolids and N fertilizer rates resulted in increased straw Ni concentrations but did not affect P, Cu, or Zn concentrations. All grain and straw metal concentrations were well below the levels considered harmful to livestock, and all findings were relatively similar to previous years. The 2 and 5 dry tons biosolids A⁻¹ application rate did not affect NO₃-N

throughout the profile as compared to either the control or the 40 lbs N A⁻¹ fertilizer application rate.

We continue to recommend 2 dry tons biosolids application A⁻¹. Previous growing season results show that 1 dry ton biosolids A⁻¹ is equivalent to 16 lbs N A⁻¹ of fertilizer (Barbarick and Ippolito, 2000; Barbarick and Ippolito, 2007). These approximations are used in planning long-term biosolids applications. We recommend that producers use soil testing and, biosolids analyses, and along with appropriate yield goals to select a fertilizer program that will ensure optimum crop yields along with environmental protection.

REFERENCES

- Barbarick, K.A., and J.A. Ippolito. 2000. Nitrogen fertilizer equivalency of sewage biosolids applied to dryland winter wheat. *J. Environ. Qual.* 29:1345-1351.
- Barbarick, K.A., and Ippolito, J.A. 2007. Nutrient assessment of a dryland wheat agroecosystem after 12 yr of biosolids applications. *Agron. J.* 99, 715-722.
- Barbarick, K.A., J.A. Ippolito, and D.G. Westfall. 1995. Biosolids effect on phosphorus, copper, zinc, nickel, and molybdenum concentrations in dryland wheat. *J. Environ. Qual.* 24:608-611.
- Barbarick, K.A., R.N. Lerch, J.M. Utschig, D.G. Westfall, R.H. Follett, J.A. Ippolito, R. Jepson, and T.M. McBride. 1992. Eight years of application of biosolids to dryland winter wheat. Colorado Agricultural Experiment Station Technical Bulletin TB92-1.
- Barbarick, K. A., and S. M. Workman. 1987. NH₄HCO₃-DTPA and DTPA extractions of sludge-amended soils. *J. Environ. Qual.* 16:125-130.
- Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment. 1996. Revised Biosolids Regulation 4.9.0. Denver, CO.
- Huang, C.L., and E.E. Schulte. 1985. Digestion of plant tissue for analysis by ICP emission spectroscopy. *Comm. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.* 16:943-958.

National Research Council. 1980. Mineral Tolerance of Domestic Animals. National Academy of Sciences, Washington, D.C. 577 pp.

Soltanpour, P.N., Johnson, G.W., Workman, S.M., Jones, J.B., Jr., and Miller, R.O. 1996. Inductively coupled plasma emission spectrometry and inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry. P.91-139. *In* D.L. Sparks (Ed.). Methods of Soil Analysis, Part 3 - Chemical Methods. Soil Science Society of America. Madison, WI.

USDA-ERS. 2013a. <http://www.ers.usda.gov/data-products/fertilizer-use-and-price.aspx#26727> Accessed on 21 February 2014.

USDA-ERS. 2013b. <http://www.ers.usda.gov/data-products/wheat-data.aspx#25171> Accessed on 21 February 2014.

USDA NASS Colorado Field Office. 2014. Colorado Agricultural Statistics 2013. www.nass.usda.gov/co (Accessed on 3 March 2014)

Table 1. Monthly precipitation (Precip) in inches at the Bennett research site, 2010-2013. (Precipitation datalogger was installed in May, 2008).

	2010	2011	2012	2013
	Precip., inches			
January	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.3
February	0.2	0.0	0.4	0.4
March	0.3	0.2	0.0	0.8
April	2.5	0.9	1.4	1.3
May	1.5	3.7	1.2	1.0
June	1.8	0.7	0.7	1.2
July	1.4	3.6	1.2	1.6
August	2.5	1.5	0.1	
September	0.1	1.0	2.0	
October	0.8	0.9	1.2	
November	0.5	0.2	0.4	
December	0.0	0.1	0.2	
Total	11.7	13.1	8.9	6.6

Table 2. Average composition of Littleton/Englewood biosolids applied in 2012-2013 compared to the Grade I and II biosolids limits.

Property	Dry Weight Concentration Littleton/Englewood	lbs. added per ton	Grade I Biosolids Limit [¶]	Grade II Biosolids Limit
Organic N (%)	4.17	83		
NO ₃ -N (%)	<0.01	---		
NH ₄ -N (%)	0.43	9		
Solids (%)	92.5	---		
P (%)	2.2	44		
Ag (mg kg ⁻¹) [†]	43.6	0.089		
As (mg kg ⁻¹)	14.0	0.028	41	75
Ba (mg kg ⁻¹)	353	0.71		
Be (mg kg ⁻¹)	<0.01	---		
Cd (mg kg ⁻¹)	1.1	0.0022	39	85
Cr (mg kg ⁻¹)	16.8	0.034	1200	3000
Cu (mg kg ⁻¹)	800	1.60	1500	4300
Pb (mg kg ⁻¹)	19.1	0.039	300	840
Hg (mg kg ⁻¹)	0.011	0.000022	17	57
Mn (mg kg ⁻¹)	315	0.63		
Mo (mg kg ⁻¹)	9.0	0.018	Not finalized	75
Ni (mg kg ⁻¹)	14.2	0.028	420	420
Se (mg kg ⁻¹)	13.5	0.027	36	100
Zn (mg kg ⁻¹)	693	1.39	2800	7500

[¶] Grade I and II biosolids are suitable for land application (Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment, 1996).

[†] mg kg⁻¹ = parts per million.

Table 3. Effects of N fertilizer and biosolids on wheat yield, and projected income at North Bennett, 2012-2013.

N fert. lbs. A ⁻¹	Biosolids [†] dry tons A ⁻¹	Yield bu A ⁻¹	Fert. cost [‡] \$ A ⁻¹	Income - fert. cost \$ A ⁻¹
0		10.7	0	81
20		11.8	23	66
40		9.6	36	37
60		7.7	49	9
80		8.7	62	4
100		7.5	75	-18
Mean [◇]		11.3	49	36
LSD N rate [¶]		NS		
	0	7.5	0	57
	1	8.8	0	67
	2	8.6	0	65
	3	8.5	0	64
	4	8.4	0	64
	5	7.0	0	53
Mean [◇]		8.2	0	64
LSD biosolids rate [¶]		NS		
N vs. biosolids [¶]		NS		

[†] Identical biosolids applications were made in 1992, 1994, 1996, 1998, 2000, 2002, 2004, 2010, and 2012; therefore, the cumulative amount is 9 times that shown.

[‡] The price for urea was considered to be \$.64 lb⁻¹ N (USDA-ERS, 2013a) plus \$10.00 A⁻¹ application charge. The biosolids and its application are currently free. We used a grain price of \$7.56 bu⁻¹ for wheat (USDA-ERS, 2013b).

[◇] Means/LSD/N vs. biosolids do not include the controls.

[¶] NS = not significant at 5% probability level; * = significant at the 5% probability level.

Table 4. Effects of N fertilizer and biosolids rates on protein and elemental concentrations of dryland winter wheat grain at North Bennett, 2012-2013.

N fert. lbs N A ⁻¹	Biosolids dry tons A ^{-1†}	Protein %	P g kg ⁻¹	Cu -----	Ni mg kg ⁻¹	Zn -----
0		15.8	3.8	7.4	1.1	20
20		15.0	3.6	7.4	1.1	20
40		18.1	4.1	6.1	1.2	24
60		17.6	3.9	6.0	1.3	23
80		18.3	4.1	5.9	1.4	22
100		20.1	4.3	6.6	1.5	28
Mean [§]		17.8	4.0	6.4	1.3	23
Sign. N rates		NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
LSD						
	0	15.4	3.8	5.3	1.2	23
	1	17.5	4.2	6.1	1.2	23
	2	18.6	4.2	6.5	1.2	29
	3	18.1	4.1	6.4	1.2	28
	4	18.1	4.2	6.6	1.3	28
	5	19.8	4.4	6.2	1.6	28
	Mean	17.8	4.2	6.4	1.3	27
	Sign. biosolids rates	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
	LSD					
	N vs biosolids	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

[†] Identical biosolids applications were made in 1992, 1994, 1996, 1998, 2000, 2002, 2004, 2010, and 2012; therefore, the cumulative amount is 9 times that shown.

[§] Means/LSDs/N vs biosolids do not include the controls (the zero rates).

[¶] NS = not significant, * = significance at 5% probability level, ** = significance at 1% probability level, ND = non-detectable.

Table 5. Effects of N fertilizer and biosolids rates on elemental concentrations of dryland winter wheat straw at North Bennett, 2012-2013.

N fert. lbs N A ⁻¹	Biosolids dry tons A ^{-1†}	P g kg ⁻¹	Cu ----- mg kg ⁻¹	Ni mg kg ⁻¹	Zn -----
0		1.0	3.2	0.3	4.7
20		0.7	2.7	0.3	3.1
40		1.3	3.2	0.4	4.8
60		1.4	3.8	0.4	6.3
80		1.3	3.6	0.5	5.8
100		1.6	4.7	0.5	8.0
Mean [§]		1.3	3.6	0.4	5.6
Sign. N rates		NS	NS	*	NS
LSD				0.1	
	0	1.2	3.0	0.4	5.0
	1	1.4	3.5	0.5	6.1
	2	1.4	3.8	0.4	6.3
	3	1.4	3.9	0.5	7.3
	4	1.9	4.2	0.6	9.2
	5	1.9	4.4	0.5	7.9
	Mean	1.6	4.0	0.5	7.4
	Sign. biosolids rates	NS	NS	*	*
	LSD			0.1	4.2
	N vs biosolids	NS	NS	*	NS

[†] Identical biosolids applications were made in 1992, 1994, 1996, 1998, 2000, 2002, 2004, 2010, and 2012; therefore, the cumulative amount is 9 times that shown.

[§] Means/LSDs/N vs biosolids do not include the controls (the zero rates).

[¶] NS = not significant, * = significance at 5% probability level, ** = significance at 1% probability level, ND = non-detectable.

Table 6. Soil ABDTPA elemental concentrations for the 0 to 8 inches depth at harvest at North Bennett, 2012-2013.

N fert. lbs N A ⁻¹	Biosolids dry tons A ^{-1†}	P	Cu mg	Ni kg ⁻¹	Zn
0		18	6.3	1.5	2.0
20		12	4.9	1.4	1.1
40		23	7.1	1.9	2.7
60		16	7.0	1.6	2.5
80		19	6.5	1.6	2.0
100		13	5.3	1.4	1.6
Mean [§]		17	6.2	1.6	2.0
Sign. N rates		NS	NS	NS	NS
LSD					
	0	14	5.0	1.3	1.2
	1	17	6.1	1.3	1.7
	2	23	8.2	1.5	3.5
	3	9	6.2	1.5	2.1
	4	21	6.6	1.5	2.6
	5	18	6.0	1.6	2.1
	Mean	18	6.6	1.5	2.4
	Sign. biosolids rates	NS	NS	NS	NS
	LSD				
	N vs biosolids	NS	NS	NS	NS

[†] Identical biosolids applications were made in 1992, 1994, 1996, 1998, 2000, 2002, 2004, 2010, and 2012; therefore, the cumulative amount is 9 times that shown.

[§] Means/LSDs/N vs biosolids do not include the controls (the zero rates).

[¶] NS = not significant, * = significance at 5% probability level, ** = significance at 1% probability level.

Table 7. Soil ABDTPA elemental concentrations for the 8 to 24 inches depth at harvest at North Bennett, 2012-2013.

N fert. lbs N A ⁻¹	Biosolids dry tons A ^{-1†}	P	Cu mg	Ni kg ⁻¹
0		1.3	2.5	0.4
20		2.0	2.6	0.4
40		2.4	2.6	0.5
60		1.8	2.6	0.5
80		2.0	2.6	0.5
100		1.3	2.5	0.4
Mean [§]		1.9	2.6	0.5
Sign. N rates		NS	NS	NS
LSD				
	0	1.4	2.5	0.5
	1	2.9	2.7	0.4
	2	2.4	2.4	0.3
	3	1.8	2.5	0.4
	4	2.4	2.8	0.4
	5	2.2	2.6	0.5
	Mean	2.3	2.6	0.4
	Sign. biosolids rates	NS	NS	NS
	LSD			
	N vs biosolids	NS	NS	NS

[†] Identical biosolids applications were made in 1992, 1994, 1996, 1998, 2000, 2002, 2004, 2010, and 2012; therefore, the cumulative amount is 9 times that shown.

[§] Means/LSDs/N vs biosolids do not include the controls (the zero rates).

[¶] NS = not significant, * = significance at 5% probability level, ** = significance at 1% probability level.

Figure 1. North Bennett wheat yields in 2013 as affected by either N fertilizer or biosolids application.





Figure 2. North Bennett harvest soil nitrate-N, 2012-2013.



NS = non significant.