Technical Report TR12-8 April 2012

Agricultural Experiment Station

College of Agricultural Sciences
Department of Soil and Crop Sciences
CSU Extension



APPLICATION OF ANAEROBICALLY DIGESTED BIOSOLIDS TO DRYLAND WINTER WHEAT 2010-2011 RESULTS

K.A. Barbarick, T. Gourd,
and J.P. McDaniel
Professor[1], Extension Agent[2], and Research Associate[1]
[1]Department of Soil and Crop Sciences
[2]Adams County Extension

APPLICATION OF ANAEROBICALLY DIGESTED BIOSOLIDS TO DRYLAND WINTER WHEAT 2010-2011 RESULTS

The Cities of Littleton and Englewood, Colorado and the Colorado Agricultural Experiment Station (project number 15-2924) funded this project.

INTRODUCTION

Approximately 41% of biosolids are land applied in the U.S. (Brobst, Robert. 2011. USEPA, Personal Communication). Land application can greatly benefit municipalities and farmers by recycling plant nutrients in an environmentally sound manner (Barbarick et al., 1992).

Our long-term biosolids project, now in its twenty-ninth year, has provided valuable information on the effects of continuous biosolids applications to dryland winter wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Previous research has shown that Littleton/Englewood biosolids are an effective alternative to commercial nitrogen (N) fertilizer with respect to grain production and nutrient content of winter wheat (Barbarick et al., 1992). As with other N fertilizers, however, application rates of biosolids exceeding the N needs of the crop result in an accumulation of soil nitrate-nitrogen. Excess soil nitrate-nitrogen may move below the root zone or off-site and contaminate groundwater or surface waters. The potential benefit of biosolids is that they contain organic N, which can act like a slow-release N source and provide a more constant supply of N during the critical grain-filling period versus commercial N fertilizer.

For the Littleton/Englewood biosolids, a 2 dry tons biosolids A^{-1} application rate will supply approximately 32 lbs N A^{-1} over the growing season (Barbarick and Ippolito, 2000; Barbarick and Ippolito, 2007), an amount within the typical application range for dryland winter wheat crops in our study area. Other biosolids sources may exhibit a different N fertilizer equivalency. Previous research has shown no detrimental grain trace-metal accumulation with this application rate (Barbarick et al., 1995). Therefore, we continue to recommend a 2 dry tons biosolids A^{-1} rate as the most sustainable land-application rate for similar biosolids nutrient characteristics and crop yields.

The overall objective of our research is to compare the effects of Littleton/Englewood (L/E) biosolids and commercial N fertilizer rates on: a) dryland winter wheat grain production, b) estimated income, c) grain and straw total nutrient and trace-metal content, and (d) soil NO_3-N accumulation and movement.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The North Bennett experimental plots used in the 2010-2011 growing season were established in August 1993. The soil is classified as a Weld loam, Aridic Argiustoll. The land is managed with minimum-tillage practices. Precipitation amounts are shown in Table 1.

We applied N fertilizer (46-0-0; urea) at rates of 0, 20, 40, 60, 80, and 100 lbs N A^{-1} and biosolids (93% solids, Table 2) at rates of 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 dry tons A^{-1} on 26 and 27 July 2010, respectively. The same plots received biosolids and N fertilizer, at the above rates, in July or August 1992, 1994, 1996, 1998, 2000, 2002, 2004, and 2008. We did not apply biosolids in 2006 since the farmer grew sunflowers (*Helianthus annuus* L.) to help control an infestation of jointed goat grass (*Aegilops cylindrica* Host). According to the 1996 Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment Biosolids Regulations, L/E biosolids are classified as Grade I and are suitable for application to agricultural and disturbed lands (Table 2). We uniformly applied both biosolids and N fertilizer, and incorporated with a rototiller to a depth of 4 to 6 inches. The North Bennett site was cropped with the winter wheat cultivar 'TAM 107' during the 1993-4, 1995-6, and 1997-8 growing seasons, ‘Prairie Red’ during the 1999-2000, 2001-2, 2003-4, and 2005-6 seasons, and ‘Ripper’ in 2007-8 and 2010-2011.

At harvest (21 July 2011), we measured grain yield and protein content. We estimated net return to fertilizer application using $6.45 per bushel for wheat, subtracted the cost for either fertilizer or biosolids, and considered all other costs equal. Although we applied urea fertilizer, we based our estimated gross income calculations on the cost of anhydrous ammonia. The biosolids and its application are currently free. We collected three random 3-foot row samples from each plot on 21 July 2011 to determine biomass yields. Plant P, Cu, Ni, and Zn concentrations were determined in nitric-acid digests (Huang and Schulte, 1985) using an inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrophotometer (ICP-AES; Soltanpour et al., 1996).

Two to three soil samples from 0 to 8 and 8 to 24 inches were taken from each plot and composited. We used ammonium bicarbonate diethylenetriaminepentaacetic acid (ABDTPA) to extract the soils and determine plant-available P, Cu, Ni, and Zn using the ICP-AES (Barbarick and Workman, 1987). We also collected soil samples from the 0-8, 8-24, 24-40, 40-60, and 60-80-inch depths in the control, 40 lbs N A^{-1}, and 2 and 5 dry tons biosolids A^{-1} treatments and analyzed them for NO_3-N accumulation.

This report provides data for the 2010-2011 crop year only. The reader is reminded that the 2010-2011 North Bennett plots received biosolids at the same application rates in July or August 1992, 1994, 1996, 1998, 2000, 2002, 2004, and 2008. Considering these eight prior applications plus the most recent application, the recommended 2 dry tons A^{-1} biosolids rate for the 2010-2011 growing season represents a cumulative addition of 18 dry tons A^{-1} biosolids for the life of the experiment.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Grain Yields, Protein Content, and Estimated Income

As shown in Table 3, neither L/E biosolids nor commercial N fertilizer rates impacted grain yields. Yields were below the Adams County 2011 average yield of 42 bushels A^{-1} (USDA NASS Colorado Field Office, 2011). The lack of moisture in September and October 2010 led to very poor stand establishment (Table 1). The abundant precipitation in April 2011 prevented a total crop loss. Because it was supplied free of charge, the biosolids did provide higher income per acre than the N fertilizer.

Biosolids Application Recommendation

We compared yields from N and biosolids plots at North Bennett to determine the N equivalency of the biosolids. However, we did not find any significant N equivalency relationships for the biosolids or N-fertilizer treatments (Figure 1). During past growing seasons we have estimated that 1 dry ton of biosolids would supply the equivalent of 16 lbs of fertilizer N (Barbarick and Ippolito, 2000; Barbarick and Ippolito, 2007). This approximation is used in planning long-term biosolids applications.

Grain and Straw Nutrients and Trace Metals

The only significant effect on grain nutrient and trace-metal concentrations were an N fertilizer-rate effect on Cu content (content decreased as N fertilizer rate increased) and a larger Cu concentration in the N fertilizer treatments compared to biosolids addition (Table 4). We do not have an explanation for these results. Neither biosolids nor N fertilizer significantly affected straw nutrient or trace-metal concentrations (Table 5). All grain and straw metal contents were well below the levels considered harmful to livestock (National Research Council, 1980).

Nutrient Availability and Residual Soil NO_3-N

The only significant effect on AB-DTPA soil-extractable nutrient levels was in the 8-20 inch depth (Tables 6 and 7). Biosolids produced larger AB-DTPA extractable P than N fertilizer at this depth.

Neither the recommended 2 dry tons biosolids A^{-1} nor the 5 dry tons biosolids A^{-1} application rate significantly affected NO_3-N throughout the profile as compared to either the control or the 40 lbs N A^{-1} fertilizer application rate (Figure 2). Soil NO_3-N concentrations at all depths and for all treatments were less than 3 ppm indicating a small carryover of NO_3-N from previous biosolids or N fertilizer applications.

SUMMARY

North Bennett grain yields were below the Adams County 2011 average yield of 42 bu A^{-1} (USDA NASS Colorado Field Office, 2011). On average, the estimated net return to biosolids was greater than the N fertilizer application primarily due to the cost-free aspect of biosolids application. This trend was similar to previous findings where biosolids usage provided a greater economic advantage.

Increasing N fertilizer rates resulted in increased grain Cu but did not affect P, Ni, or Zn concentrations. Biosolids application did not affect grain P, Cu, Ni, or Zn. All grain and straw

metal concentrations were well below the levels considered harmful to livestock, and all findings were relatively similar to previous years.

The 2 and 5 dry tons biosolids A^{-1} application rate did not affect NO_3-N throughout the profile as compared to either the control or the 40 lbs N A^{-1} fertilizer application rate.

We continue to recommend 2 dry tons biosolids application A^{-1}. Previous growing season results show that 1 dry ton biosolids A^{-1} is equivalent to 16 lbs N A^{-1} of fertilizer (Barbarick and Ippolito, 2000; Barbarick and Ippolito, 2007). These approximations are used in planning long-term biosolids applications. We recommend that soil testing, biosolids analyses, and setting appropriate yield goals must be used with any fertilizer program to ensure optimum crop yields along with environmental protection.

REFERENCES

Barbarick, K.A., and J.A. Ippolito. 2000. Nitrogen fertilizer equivalency of sewage biosolids applied to dryland winter wheat. J. Environ. Qual. 29:1345-1351.

Barbarick, K.A., and Ippolito, J.A. 2007. Nutrient assessment of a dryland wheat agroecosystem after 12 yr of biosolids applications. Agron. J. 99, 715-722.

Barbarick, K.A., J.A. Ippolito, and D.G. Westfall. 1995. Biosolids effect on phosphorus, copper, zinc, nickel, and molybdenum concentrations in dryland wheat. J. Environ. Qual. 24:608-611.

Barbarick, K.A., R.N. Lerch, J.M. Utschig, D.G. Westfall, R.H. Follett, J.A. Ippolito, R. Jepson, and T.M. McBride. 1992. Eight years of application of biosolids to dryland winter wheat. Colorado Agricultural Experiment Station Technical Bulletin TB92-1.

Barbarick, K. A., and S. M. Workman. l987. NH_4HCO_3-DTPA and DTPA extractions of sludge-amended soils. J. Environ. Qual. l6:l25-l30.

Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment. 1996. Revised Biosolids Regulation 4.9.0. Denver, CO.

Huang, C.L., and E.E. Schulte. 1985. Digestion of plant tissue for analysis by ICP emission spectroscopy. Comm. Soil Sci. Plant Anal. 16:943-958.

National Research Council. 1980. Mineral Tolerance of Domestic Animals. National Academy of Sciences, Washington, D.C. 577 pp.

Soltanpour, P.N., Johnson, G.W., Workman, S.M., Jones, J.B., Jr., and Miller, R.O. 1996. Inductively coupled plasma emission spectrometry and inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry. P.91-139. *In* D.L. Sparks (Ed.). Methods of Soil Analysis, Part 3 - Chemical Methods. Soil Science Society of America. Madison, WI.

USDA-ERS. 2012b. http://www.ers.usda.gov/data/wheat/YBtable18.asp Accessed on 1 February 2012.

USDA-ERS. 2012a. http://www.ers.usda.gov/Data/FertilizerUse/ Accessed on 1 February 2012.

USDA NASS Colorado Field Office. 2011. Colorado Agricultural Statistics 2011. www.nass.usda.gov/co (Accessed on 27 January 2012).

Table 1. Monthly precipitation (Precip) in inches at the Bennett research site, 2010-2011. (Precipitation datalogger was installed in May, 2008).

Month	2010	2011
	Precip., inches	
January	0.1	0.5
February	0.2	0.1
March	0.3	0.4
April	2.5	3.4
May	1.5	2.6
June	1.8	6.0
July	1.4	
August	2.5	
September	0.1	
October	0.8	
November	0.5	
December	0.0	
Total	11.7	13.0

Table 2. Average composition of Littleton/Englewood biosolids applied in 2010-2011 compared to the Grade I and II biosolids limits.

Property	Dry Weight Concentration Littleton/Englewood	lbs. added per ton	Grade I Biosolids Limit[¶]	Grade II Biosolids Limit
Organic N (%)	4.52	90		
NO_3-N (%)	<0.01	---		
NH_4-N (%)	0.78	16		
Solids (%)	84.2	---		
P (%)	1.89	38		
Ag (mg kg^{-1}) [†]	0.19	0.00038		
As "	2.93	0.0059	41	75
Ba "	18.8	0.038		
Be "	<0.01	---		
Cd "	1.0	0.0020	39	85
Cr "	13.3	0.026	1200	3000
Cu "	546	1.09	1500	4300
Pb "	11.0	0.022	300	840
Hg "	0.014	0.000028	17	57
Mn "	298	0.59		
Mo "	6.6	0.013	Not finalized	75
Ni "	8.2	0.016	420	420
Se "	0.016	0.000032	36	100
Zn "	356	0.71	2800	7500

¶ Grade I and II biosolids are suitable for land application (Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment, 1996).

† mg kg^{-1} = parts per million.

Table 3. Effects of N fertilizer and biosolids on wheat yield, and projected income at North Bennett, 2010-2011.

N fert. lbs. A^{-1}	Biosolids† dry tons A^{-1}	Yield bu A^{-1}	Fert. cost‡ \$ A^{-1}	Income - fert. cost \$ A^{-1}
0		20	0	129
20		23	20	128
40		25	32	129
60		21	43	92
80		20	55	74
100		20	66	63
Mean§		22	43	99
LSD N rate§		NS ¶		
	0	15	0	97
	1	19	0	123
	2	30	0	194
	3	18	0	116
	4	22	0	142
	5	22	0	142
Mean§		22	0	142
LSD biosolids rate		NS		
N vs. biosolids§		NS		

† Identical biosolids applications were made in 1992, 1994, 1996, 1998, 2000, 2002, 2004, 2008, and 2010; therefore, the cumulative amount is 9 times that shown.

‡ The price for anhydrous NH_3 was considered to be \$.57 lb^{-1} N (USDA-ERS, 2012a) plus \$9.00 A^{-1} application charge. The biosolids and its application are currently free. We used a grain price of \$6.45 bu^{-1} for wheat (USDA-ERS, 2012b).

§ Means/LSD/N vs. biosolids do not include the controls.

¶ NS = not significant at 5% probability level; * = significant at the 5% probability level.

Table 4. Effects of N fertilizer and biosolids rates on protein and elemental concentrations of dryland winter wheat grain at North Bennett, 2010-2011.

N fert. lbs N A^{-1}	Biosolids dry tons A^{-1}†	Protein %	P g kg^{-1}	Cu --------	Ni mg kg^{-1}	Zn -----------
0		13.0	3.0	11.0	0.65	22
20		14.0	3.2	7.6	0.33	17
40		14.5	3.2	6.8	0.26	19
60		14.3	3.3	7.5	0.38	20
80		13.8	3.4	7.6	0.43	19
100		13.7	3.4	8.0	0.48	19
Mean§		14.1	3.3	7.5	0.38	19
Sign. N rates		NS	NS	**	NS	NS
LSD				1.0		
	0	13.6	3.3	7.8	0.31	18
	1	13.4	3.2	6.4	0.28	18
	2	14.3	3.3	6.7	0.22	17
	3	14.8	3.6	6.9	0.34	20
	4	14.3	3.5	6.3	0.56	19
	5	14.4	3.2	6.4	0.56	17
	Mean	14.2	3.4	6.5	0.37	18
	Sign. biosolids rates	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
	LSD					
	N vs bio-solids	NS	NS	**	NS	NS

† Identical biosolids applications were made in 1992, 1994, 1996, 1998, 2000, 2002, 2004, 2008, and 2010; therefore, the cumulative amount is 9 times that shown.

§ Means/LSDs/N vs biosolids do not include the controls (the zero rates).

¶ NS = not significant, * = significance at 5% probability level, ** = significance at 1% probability level, ND = non-detectable.

Table 5. Effects of N fertilizer and biosolids rates on elemental concentrations of dryland winter wheat straw at North Bennett, 2010-2011.

N fert. lbs N A^{-1}	Biosolids dry tons A^{-1} †	P g kg^{-1}	Cu ----------	Ni mg kg^{-1}	Zn -----------
0		0.52	2.2	0.12	4.4
20		0.46	2.0	0.35	3.8
40		0.46	2.3	0.35	3.9
60		0.60	2.3	0.36	4.5
80		0.34	2.0	0.46	3.2
100		0.40	2.0	0.23	3.0
Mean§		0.45	2.1	0.35	3.7
Sign. N rates		NS	NS	NS	NS
LSD					
	0	0.43	2.1	0.18	3.6
	1	0.57	2.2	0.35	4.6
	2	0.35	2.0	0.36	3.5
	3	0.48	1.9	0.22	4.2
	4	0.35	1.9	0.21	2.9
	5	0.56	2.0	0.22	4.6
	Mean	0.46	2.0	0.27	4.0
	Sign. biosolids rates	NS	NS	NS	NS
	LSD				
	N vs bio-solids	NS	NS	NS	NS

† Identical biosolids applications were made in 1992, 1994, 1996, 1998, 2000, 2002, 2004, 2008, and 2010; therefore, the cumulative amount is 9 times that shown.

§ Means/LSDs/N vs biosolids do not include the controls (the zero rates).

¶ NS = not significant, * = significance at 5% probability level, ** = significance at 1% probability level, ND = non-detectable.

Table 6. Soil ABDTPA elemental concentrations for the 0 to 8 inches depth at harvest at North Bennett, 2011.

N fert. lbs N A^{-1}	Bio-solids dry tons A^{-1}†	P	Cu	Ni	Zn
			mg	kg^{-1}	
0		6.1	3.5	0.95	0.70
20		5.8	3.7	1.03	0.75
40		9.0	5.3	1.16	1.81
60		8.5	5.4	1.08	1.87
80		5.1	5.0	1.04	1.67
100		7.0	5.0	0.94	1.50
Mean§		7.1	4.9	1.05	1.52
Sign. N rates		NS	NS	NS	NS
LSD					
	0	5.7	3.8	1.02	0.74
	1	8.4	4.4	1.02	1.17
	2	4.9	6.1	1.02	2.24
	3	6.2	4.7	1.12	1.34
	4	6.8	3.7	1.04	0.71
	5	3.8	3.5	1.08	0.72
	Mean	6.0	4.5	1.06	1.24
	Sign. bio-solids rates	NS	NS	NS	NS
	LSD				
	N vs bio-solids	NS	NS	NS	NS

† Identical biosolids applications were made in 1992, 1994, 1996, 1998, 2000, 2002, 2004, 2008, and 2010; therefore, the cumulative amount is 9 times that shown.

§ Means/LSDs/N vs biosolids do not include the controls (the zero rates).

¶ NS = not significant, * = significance at 5% probability level, ** = significance at 1% probability level.

Table 7. Soil ABDTPA elemental concentrations for the 8 to 24 inches depth at harvest at North Bennett, 2011.

N fert. lbs N A^{-1}	Bio-solids dry tons A^{-1}†	P	Cu	Ni	Zn
			mg	kg^{-1}	
0		0.6	2.2	0.37	0.04
20		1.1	2.2	0.40	0.04
40		1.1	3.1	0.59	0.50
60		0.5	2.2	0.40	0.10
80		1.9	2.4	0.37	0.10
100		0.9	2.3	0.36	0.10
Mean§		1.1	2.4	0.42	0.17
Sign. N rates		NS	NS	NS	NS
LSD					
	0	1.3	2.4	0.58	0.06
	1	1.5	2.4	0.37	0.19
	2	2.6	2.3	0.35	0.13
	3	1.5	2.3	0.49	0.10
	4	1.6	2.5	0.44	0.07
	5	1.3	2.3	0.44	0.08
	Mean	1.7	2.3	0.42	0.11
	Sign. bio-solids rates	NS	NS	NS	NS
	LSD				
	N vs bio-solids	*	NS	NS	NS

† Identical biosolids applications were made in 1992, 1994, 1996, 1998, 2000, 2002, 2004, 2008, and 2010; therefore, the cumulative amount is 9 times that shown.

§ Means/LSDs/N vs biosolids do not include the controls (the zero rates).

¶ NS = not significant, * = significance at 5% probability level, ** = significance at 1% probability level.

Figure 1. North Bennett wheat yields in 2011 as affected by either N fertilizer or biosolids application.



Figure 2. North Bennett harvest soil nitrate-N, 2010-2011.



NS = non significant.