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The Colorado State University Crops Testing Program provides unbiased, current, and reliable research results and information to help Colorado dry bean producers make better decisions. Colorado State University promotes crop variety and agronomy testing as a service to crop producers and seed companies who depend on us for crop performance information.

Colorado State University personnel planted two irrigated pinto bean sites and two dryland and one irrigated cowpea trial in northeast Colorado. Irrigated pinto bean trial locations were Kirk and Yuma. The irrigated site at Yuma included two separate pinto trials. The trials were 1) a strip trial containing six varieties chosen by bean processors and funded by the Colorado Dry Bean Committee and 2) a small plot trial with thirteen varieties from various public and private breeding programs entered at will. The irrigated site at Kirk included a small plot variety trial, with the same varieties that were tested at the Yuma site. The dryland and irrigated cowpea trials were located in Akron at the USDA-ARS Central Great Plains Research Center. The dryland cowpea trials consisted of a variety trial and a microbiologicals product trial. The dry bean trials at Yuma were destroyed due to hail in August. The other trials were harvested and statistically analyzed and reported after harvest on our website at csucrops.com.

**Variety Trial (Small Plot and Strip) Testing Methods**

All trial entries were randomized within each replication using a randomized complete block design. Plot sizes for the small plot dry bean trials were four rows wide (10 feet) by 30 feet long. The strip trial plots were four rows wide (10 feet) by at least 140 feet long. The dryland and irrigated cowpea trial plot sizes were two rows wide (5 feet) by 30 feet long harvested. All irrigated trials were irrigated using overhead sprinklers (pivot or linear move). Varieties in the trials were replicated three times within each location and trial. Cultural practices for each trial location are included below the individual site tables when available. Management practices generally match the rest of the producer’s field. None of the beans in the variety trials were inoculated.

All plots were planted using a four row Seed Research Equipment Solutions (SRES) 2013 Classic Aire vacuum planter equipped with Monosem seed meters. Irrigated pinto bean trials were planted at 85,000 seeds per acre. The dryland cowpea trial was planted at 61,500 seeds per acre. The irrigated cowpea trial was planted at 98,750 seeds per acre. Dry bean plots were harvested using a Case IH 1620 combine modified for small plot use and equipped with a H2 GrainGage weighing system and a flex header. Cowpea plots were harvested using a Wintersteiger Classic combine and harvested plot seed was bagged and weighed. Seed was analyzed on a GAC 2500-AGRI to obtain test weight and moisture values the day of harvest.
Interpreting Results

The least significant difference (LSD) is provided at the bottom of the yield tables. The LSD is used to help determine whether differences in variety yield are statistically significant. If the yield difference between two varieties equals or exceeds the LSD value, the difference between them is significant. When both an LSD (P<0.30) and LSD (P<0.05) are provided, farmers should use the LSD (0.30) for selecting superior varieties to minimize economic loss due to false negative results (concluding there is no difference when there is one). Others may use LSD (0.05) to minimize the risk of false positive results (concluding there is a difference when there isn’t one). A farmer may want to use an LSD (0.05) if a management treatment is being compared to a control treatment. If two varieties being compared have a difference in yield that is less than the LSD value, those two varieties are considered equal yielding. Variety yields in bold in the tables are in the top LSD yield group and are equal yielding. Variety selection may be based on more than yield performance. Other factors to consider when selecting a variety includes maturity, disease resistance, plant architecture, seed quality, and cost.
## 2023 Irrigated Pinto Bean Variety Performance Trial at Kirk

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variety</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Traits</th>
<th>Yield$</th>
<th>2-Year Yield</th>
<th>Test Weight</th>
<th>Seeds/Pound</th>
<th>Moisture</th>
<th>Maturity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>USDA Cody</td>
<td>USDA-ARS, Prosser, WA</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4285</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>1172</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ND Palomino</td>
<td>North Dakota State Univ.</td>
<td>Slow-Dark</td>
<td>3943</td>
<td>3074</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1077</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT22-7</td>
<td>USDA-ARS, Prosser, WA</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3934</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1105</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USDA Rattler</td>
<td>Kelley Bean</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3917</td>
<td>3646</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1042</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charro</td>
<td>Michigan State Univ.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3849</td>
<td>3331</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>1070</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ND Rodeo</td>
<td>North Dakota State Univ.</td>
<td>Slow-Dark</td>
<td>3774</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>997</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USDA Diamondback</td>
<td>Kelley Bean</td>
<td>Slow-Dark</td>
<td>3629</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>1057</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>88</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT22-8</td>
<td>USDA-ARS, Prosser, WA</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3584</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1106</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SV6139GR</td>
<td>Seminis</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3510</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>1169</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ND Falcon</td>
<td>North Dakota State Univ.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3506</td>
<td>2924</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>1171</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NE2-22-12</td>
<td>Univ. of Nebraska-Lincoln</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3376</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>970</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NE2-21-41</td>
<td>Univ. of Nebraska-Lincoln</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3125</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>1079</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USDA Basin</td>
<td>USDA-ARS, Prosser, WA</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2855</td>
<td>2531</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>1105</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Average            |                                |         | 3637   | 3101         | 61          | 1086        | 18       | 88       |

LSD (0.30) 379  
LSD (0.05) 734  
Coeffecient of Variation (CV) 12.8

$Yields corrected to 14% moisture.

If the difference between varieties is equal to or greater than the LSD value, the chance the difference is significant is 70% (for LSD 0.30). There were no statistically significant differences at the 0.05 level. Farmers selecting a hybrid based on yield should use the LSD (.30) to protect themselves from false negative conclusions (concluding hybrids are the same when they are actually different). Companies or researchers may use LSD (.05) to avoid false positive conclusions (concluding hybrids are different when they are actually the same).

## Site Information

**Collaborator:** TK Farms  
**Planting Date:** June 21, 2023  
**Harvest Date:** September 30, 2023  
**Soil Type:** Haxtun sandy loam  
**GPS Coordinates:** 39.598833, -102.465873  
**Trial Comments:** Trial initially planted on June 8 and then replanted on the 21 due to poor emergence from crusting. Replanted beans had excellent emergence and plant stands. Good weed control throughout the season. Desiccant sprayed a week prior to harvest. All plots were direct-harvested with a combine equipped with a flex-header.

*The data included in this table may not be republished without permission.*  
*Contact Sally Jones-Diamond (sally.jones@colostate.edu)*
Charro is a variety released by Michigan State University AgBioResearch as a high-yielding, upright, full-season cultivar with excellent canning quality. It has the I-gene that confers resistance to BCMV and BCMNV and was resistant to rust race 31:7 in the CSU rust nursery. Maturity is 97 days on average.

ND Falcon is a North Dakota State University release with upright architecture (type IIa) with short vines. Under North Dakota conditions it matures in approximately 105 days. It is resistant to the new race of rust (20-3) predominant in the region and BCMV. It shows resistance to Soybean Cyst Nematode and has agronomic traits of economic importance such as canning quality, and seed shape/size are within commercially acceptable ranges.

ND Palomino is a slow darkening variety jointly released by North Dakota State University and the USDA-ARS. It has an upright, indeterminate (short vine) growth habit (Type 2A), white flowers, and matures in approximately 102 days. It is resistant to BCMV, but susceptible to both rust and anthracnose diseases.

ND Rodeo is a slow darkening variety released by North Dakota State University. It has an upright, indeterminate (short vine) growth habit (Type 2A), white flowers, and matures in approximately 102 days. It is resistant to BCMV, but susceptible to local ND races of both rust and anthracnose pathogens.

SV6139GR is a variety released by Seminis with an upright, semi-determinate plant growth habit and good pod position making it suitable for direct combining. It has good yield potential and improved lodging resistance with a broad adaptation and possesses disease resistance to bean common mosaic virus and bean rust.

USDA Basin is a variety released by USDA-ARS with license to a seed company pending. It has resistance to potyvirus (BCMV) and bean rust. It performs well under lower fertility conditions. It has semi-upright architecture and moderate resistance to lodging. Around 96 days to maturity.

USDA Cody is a variety released by USDA-ARS and licensed to Kelley Bean Co. It has resistance to potyvirus (BCMV and BCMNV) and bean rust. It performs well under drought and low soil fertility conditions. It has upright architecture and resistance to lodging. Around 98 days to maturity.

USDA Diamondback (PT16-9) is a variety released by USDA-ARS and licensed to Kelley Bean Co. It has slow darkening seed coat. It has resistance to potyvirus (BCMV and BCMNV) and bean rust. It performs well under drought and low soil fertility conditions. It has upright architecture and resistance to lodging. Around 97 days to maturity.

USDA Rattler is a variety released by USDA-ARS and licensed to Kelley Bean Co. It has resistance to potyvirus (BCMV and BCMNV) and bean rust. It performs well under drought and low soil fertility conditions. It has upright architecture and resistance to lodging. Around 97 days to maturity.
Experimentals

**NE2-22-12** and **NE2-21-41** are pinto lines from the University of Nebraska that are being considered for release.

**PT22-7** is a breeding line from USDA-ARS. It performs well under drought and has resistance to potyvirus (BCMV and BCMNV). It exhibits upright architecture and resistance to lodging. Around 94 day maturity

**PT22-8** is a breeding line from USDA-ARS. It performs well under drought and has resistance to potyvirus (BCMV and BCMNV). It exhibits upright architecture and resistance to lodging. Around 95 day maturity. Note that PT22-7 and PT22-8 are sister lines.
## 2023 Dryland Cowpea Variety Performance Trial at Akron

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variety or Accession</th>
<th>Origin</th>
<th>Yield(^a)</th>
<th>Test Weight</th>
<th>Moisture</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>lb/ac</td>
<td>lb/bu</td>
<td>percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>524-B</td>
<td>USA, California</td>
<td>906</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCR 24</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>722</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TVu-14253</td>
<td>Botswana</td>
<td>717</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB46</td>
<td>USA, California</td>
<td>706</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB5</td>
<td>USA, California</td>
<td>701</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gorda</td>
<td>Puerto Rico</td>
<td>669</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCR 5385</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB27</td>
<td>USA, California</td>
<td>616</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vg50</td>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>547</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cp 5556</td>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>538</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1393-1-2-3(-)</td>
<td>USA, California</td>
<td>465</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vg72</td>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>406</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCR 5275</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cp 4906</td>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>595</strong></td>
<td><strong>55</strong></td>
<td><strong>10</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Yields corrected to 14% moisture.
\(^b\)Trial could not be statistically analyzed due to plot variation caused by early season hail damage. Average yields reported above are only trends and varieties should not be considered different from each other.

## Site Information

- **Collaborator:** Central Great Plains USDA-ARS Station
- **Planting Date:** June 20, 2023
- **Harvest Date:** October 10, 2023
- **Herbicides:** Pre-Plant Burndown: Sharpen at 1 oz/ac and Roundup at 24 oz/ac
  - Post-Emerge: Raptor at 4 oz/ac and Basagran at 8 oz/ac on Aug. 4th
- **Soil Type:** Rago silt loam
- **Trial Comments:** Trial planted into excellent moisture. Good emergence, but early season hail reduced stands. Radar estimates showed the trial received about 10.2 inches of rain from planting to harvest and 21.8 inches since January 1st, which is 140% of the ten-year average (year-to-date).

_The data included in this table may not be republished without permission._

_Contact Sally Jones-Diamond (sally.jones@colostate.edu)_
## 2023 Dryland Cowpea
Microbiological Product Trial at Akron

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Application Type</th>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Yielda</th>
<th>Test Weight</th>
<th>Moisture</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Untreated Control</td>
<td>686</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>10.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Van Grow</td>
<td>Liquid In-Furrow</td>
<td>ACL-5000</td>
<td>754</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Untreated Control</td>
<td>686</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>10.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Van Grow</td>
<td>Dry In-Furrow</td>
<td>ACB-5000</td>
<td>638</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Untreated Control</td>
<td>686</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>10.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indigo Ag</td>
<td>Seed Treatment</td>
<td>M34</td>
<td>610</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>10.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Untreated Control</td>
<td>686</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>10.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Van Grow</td>
<td>Liquid In-Furrow</td>
<td>AC-5000</td>
<td>606</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>10.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Average**

- Yield: 659 bu/ac
- Test Weight: 56 lb/bu
- Moisture: 10.1 percent

Replicates: 6

LSD (0.05) NS

Coefficient of Variation (CV): 13.7

Available Nitrate-N (lb/ac top 2 feet): 22

Organic Matter Content (%): 1.3

Soil pH in top foot: 6.3

---

### Site Information

**Collaborator:** Central Great Plains USDA-ARS Station

**Planting Date:** June 20, 2023

**Harvest Date:** October 10, 2023

**Herbicides:**
- Pre-Plant Burndown: Sharpen at 1 oz/ac and Roundup at 24 oz/ac
- Post-Emerge: Raptor at 4 oz/ac and Basagran at 8 oz/ac on Aug. 4th

**Soil Type:** Rago silt loam

**Trial Comments:**
- Trial planted into excellent moisture. CB46 variety was used for all treatments.
- Good emergence, but early season hail reduced stands. Radar estimates showed the trial received about 10.2 inches of rain from planting to harvest and 21.8 inches since January 1st, which is 140% of the ten-year average (year-to-date).

---

"Yield corrected to 14% moisture.

"An LSD (alpha 0.05) has been used to minimize the risk of false positive results, or concluding there is a difference when one doesn't exist. Treatment yields were not statistically different from the control.

The data included in this table may not be republished without permission.

Contact Sally Jones-Diamond (sally.jones@colostate.edu)
## 2023 Irrigated Cowpea Variety Performance Trial at Akron

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variety or Accession</th>
<th>Origin</th>
<th>Yield&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Test Weight</th>
<th>Moisture</th>
<th>Flowering</th>
<th>Seeds Per Pound</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>524-B</td>
<td>USA, California</td>
<td>1407</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>1424</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gorda</td>
<td>Puerto Rico</td>
<td>1406</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>1608</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TVu-14253</td>
<td>Botswana</td>
<td>1390</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1393-1-2-3(-)</td>
<td>USA, California</td>
<td>1293</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>1485</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB46</td>
<td>USA, California</td>
<td>1274</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1861</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB5</td>
<td>USA, California</td>
<td>1256</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>1552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vg72</td>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>1241</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>2137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCR 24</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>1181</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>1593</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cp 4906</td>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>1170</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>1514</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cp 5556</td>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>1146</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>1692</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCR 5275</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>1098</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB27</td>
<td>USA, California</td>
<td>1096</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>1793</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCR 5385</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>979</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2545</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Average**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yield&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Test Weight</th>
<th>Moisture</th>
<th>Flowering</th>
<th>Seeds Per Pound</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1226</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>1782</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Replicates | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| L<sup>b</sup>SD (0.05) | 190 |
| Coefficient of Variation (CV) | 13.7 |

<sup>a</sup>Yield corrected to 14% moisture.

<sup>b</sup>An LSD (alpha 0.05) has been used to minimize the risk of false positive results, or concluding there is a difference when one doesn't exist. Varieties in the top yield group are in bold.

### Site Information

- **Collaborator:** Central Great Plains USDA-ARS Station
- **Planting Date:** June 20, 2023
- **Harvest Date:** October 4, 2023
- **Herbicides:** Pre-Emerge: Roundup Pro at 24 oz/ac, Prowl H20 at 3 pt/ac, Spartan at 3 oz/ac, Sharpen at 1 oz/ac, and Vida at 2 oz/ac
- **Soil Type:** Rago silt loam
- **Trial Comments:** Trial planted into excellent moisture. Good emergence, but early season hail reduced stands. Trial irrigated three times late in the growing season for a total of 3 inches applied. Radar estimates showed the trial received about 10.2 inches of rain from planting to harvest and 21.8 inches since January 1st, which is 140% of the ten-year average (year-to-date).

*The data included in this table may not be republished without permission.*

*Contact Sally Jones-Diamond (sally.jones@colostate.edu)*
White Mold Affecting Dry Beans
Emma Barrett and Dr. Robyn Roberts

Background

White mold, a disease caused by the fungal species *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* and *Sclerotinia trifoliorum*, has become one of the most relevant assailants of dry bean in Colorado and much of the High Plains region (Harveson et al. 2007). It is commonly referred to as Sclerotinia stem rot, watery soft rot, and drop or blossom blight (Agricultural Knowledge Center 2023). Under conditions that support the growth of these fungi, yield losses can range from 40% to 100% (Schwartz et al. 2007), and seed losses can reach 100% (Escobar et al. 2022). Given ever-changing climate conditions and the devastating effects seen in fields affected by white mold, it is important to understand how to recognize, prevent, and mitigate future spread of this disease.

Disease and symptoms

A watery, rotted stem covered in white, fuzzy fungus (Figure 1) is characteristic of white mold disease in dry beans (UC IPM 2023). Infections often start with a mushroom-like fruiting structure (apothecium) that emerges from a dark mass of hardened fungi (sclerotia), typically on plant residue (Figure 2), which infects stems and causes a water-soaked lesion (UC IPM 2023). White mold, consisting of fuzzy, thread-like extensions (mycelium), eventually covers the lesion (Harveson et al. 2007). This can occur on bean pods, leaves, and stems, and the disease can affect sunflowers, weeds, vegetables, fruit, and snap beans in addition to dry beans (UC IPM 2023). Plants infected with the white mold fungus can exhibit signs of bleached and shredded dead tissue (Harveson et al. 2007). This is seen in Figure 2 surrounding the dark mass of fungi (sclerotia) on the common bean plant.

Infection and pathogen survival

Some of the key factors in determining the success of *Sclerotinia sp.* in fields include their life stage characteristics, farming practices, and environmental conditions. Seven to ten days of high soil moisture content is ideal for the growth of the apothecia from the sclerotia (Bales and Chilvers 2020). These overwintering and survival structures are hardy and can survive in the soil for long periods of
time in harsh environmental conditions for at least three years (Harveson et al. 2007). A local Colorado news station stated in July 2023 that “the last few months have been among the most humid in 20 years on the Front Range and eastern plains” (Reppenhagen 2023), which is conducive to white mold disease development. Further infections from other disease-causing microbes are common under conditions of high humidity and cold temperatures due to susceptible plant tissue that is either deteriorating or dead due to white mold infections (UC IPM 2023). Transmission of white mold can occur through the spread of sclerotia, infected seeds, fungal mycelia in the soil and on plant residue, and airborne spores (Harveson et al. 2007). Measures can be taken to prevent infection by these fungi and there are fungicidal treatment options available for use.

**Management**

There are many preventative measures that can be taken to manage and control white mold disease. Post-harvest tillage has been associated with reduced white mold presence given that the diseased tissue is buried (Harveson et al. 2007). However, the long survival period of the sclerotia may require treatment in fields where disease losses occur. Wide row spacing and reduced nitrogen application work to reduce plant humidity (UC IPM 2023). More air flow keeps plants drier due to greater spacing between canopies and reduced nitrogen lessens extensive canopy development, which can trap humidity and promote fungal growth. Wet-dry cycles are also helpful for reducing fungal growth (Bales and Chilvers 2020). The amount of rainfall is less important than the frequency of rainfall, given that frequent rainfall is more likely to contribute to white mold growth even in low quantities due to prolonged humidity. These weather patterns should be taken into consideration when irrigating. There are predictive apps on the market, such as Sporecaster (https://ipcm.wisc.edu/apps/sporecaster/), that can estimate the likelihood of white mold given the weather patterns, cropping practices, and irrigation frequency of a particular field (Bales and Chilvers 2020).

Given that white mold generally causes high yield losses, treatment with fungicides such as Benomyl, thiophanate-methyl, and iprodione in a sufficient quantity of water are recommended for fields that have several of the high-risk factors for white mold development (Harveson et al. 2007). A combination of fungicides can often improve treatment outcomes, and the field should be sprayed when 80-90% of the plants have pods or small flowers (Harveson et al. 2007). According to a study carried out at the University of Nebraska, procymidone may be the best fungicide for reducing the formation of the dark mass of fungi from which fruiting bodies sprout, which could help with long-term management of the disease (Miorini et al. 2016). Sporebuster (https://ipcm.wisc.edu/apps/sporebuster/) can be used in conjunction with Sporecaster to help predict when fungicide applications are profitable. Overall, white mold is an economically important disease affecting dry beans, and evaluating field risk factors to make decisions about irrigation and fungicidal application are important considerations that could help mitigate the effects of these fungal infections.
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Incorporation of Grain Legumes into Irrigated and Dryland Crop Rotations

Marissa Spear, Antisar Afkairin, Perry Cabot, Jessica Davis, Jasmine Dillon, Steve Fonte, Dan Mooney, Joel Schneekloth, and Jorge Vivanco

In eastern Colorado, introducing alternative pulse crops (grain legumes) into crop rotations to counter the rising costs of fallow has potential to increase farm profitability and improve soil health while minimizing environmental impact. Western Colorado faces high water demands, and winter pulse crops may reduce input costs while allowing producers to lease their excess water in the summer. Many pulse crops have low water and nutrient requirements, provide soil nutrient cycling benefits, and can provide risk-reducing options, as they can be used for forage or grain.

We received a USDA-ARS Pulse Crop Health Initiative grant in 2022 to trial 3-year alternative pulse crop rotations at sites in eastern and western Colorado and evaluate environmental and economic outcomes compared to wheat rotations with fallow or standard crops. Dryland crop rotation trials are ongoing at the USDA – Central Great Plains Agricultural Research Station in Akron while irrigated crop rotation trials are occurring at the CSU Western Colorado Research Center – Grand Valley (WCRC-GV) in Fruita.

We will quantify the environmental impact of standard rotations and alternative pulse crop rotations by measuring water use, soil health measures including nitrogen cycling and soil organic matter, as well as economic impact by comparing profitability of rotations that contain pulse crops compared to standard rotations. To understand the in-depth environmental impact of these crop rotations and diets that include pulse crops, all inputs from the field to plate will be analyzed using a life cycle analysis approach.

This year, the cowpeas in our Akron fields were planted later than usual in early June due to rain. Harvest occurred in October, in time for wheat planting. Last year, the peas and lentils in Fruita were unable to get established after September planting, but were replanted in February 2023 with deeper planting depth and higher planting density. The re-crops at Fruita struggled due to weed pressure. This year, stronger weed control methods and appropriate planting depth were employed to aid fall crop establishment.